## Tate's Lemma

Connor Lane

August 2024

## 1 Introduction

The following is a byproduct of the attempts of Shyam Ravishankar and I to understand Cassels' proof of the Cassels-Tate pairing for Elliptic curves [\[Cas62\]](#page-1-0). One section that gave us particular trouble is the following Lemma from section 5 of the paper.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**Lemma 1 ([\[Cas62\]](#page-1-0) Lemma 5.1)** Let k be a number field, q a rational prime, and A a finite  $G_k$ -module that is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  as an abelian group. Then  $\text{III}^2(k, A) = 0$ .

We found the proof in Cassels' paper slightly hard to follow. In particular, it has a [typo](https://mathoverflow.net/questions/469695/what-justifies-the-following-isomorphism-in-cassels-proof-of-the-cassels-tate-p) that took us a while to identify, and it does some slightly unusual things like identifying  $\mu_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . This motivated us to try and find an alternative proof of the fact, which we present here.

## 2 Proof of Tate's Lemma

We will want to use a lemma from [\[NSW13\]](#page-1-1), which we state the relevant case of for convenience.

<span id="page-0-1"></span>**Lemma 2 ([\[NSW13\]](#page-1-1) Thm. 9.1.9(iii))** Let A be a finite  $G_k$ -module and  $k(A)$  the trivializing extension of A. If  $[k(A)/k] = lcm{ [k(A)<sub>p</sub> : k<sub>p</sub>] : p \text{ is a prime of } k }$ . Then  $\text{III}^1(k, A) = 0$ .

By Poitout-Tate duality [\[NSW13,](#page-1-1) Th. 8.6.7], it is sufficient to prove that  $III^1(k, A) = 0$ , since if A is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  as an abelian group, then  $A' = \text{hom}(A, \mu)$  is also isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$  as an abelian group.

Proof of Lemma [1.](#page-0-0) Let  $K(A)/K$  be the trivializing extension of A. We know  $Gal(K(A)/A) \subseteq Aut(A)$  $GL_2(q)$ . Fix a Sylow-q subgroup  $G_K^{(q)}$  of  $G_K$ , and let  $K^{(q)}$  be its fixed field. Let  $K' = K_q^{(q)} \cap K(A)$  so that K' is a maximal q-free subextension of  $K(A)/K$ . We have maps res :  $H^1(G_K, A) \to H^1(G_{K'}, A)$  and cor :  $H^1(G_{K}, A) \to H^1(G_K, A)$ , whose composition is cor  $\circ$  res = [K' : K], see [\[NSW13,](#page-1-1) Cor. 1.5.7].

Since A is q-torsion,  $H^1(K, A)$  is also q-torsion and therefore multiplication by  $[K': K]$  is an isomorphism, which implies that res is an injection.

Since  $|GL_2(q)| = q(q-1)^2(q+1)$ , we see that  $Gal(K(A)/K') = q$  or 1. In either case, the group Gal( $K(A)/K'$ ) is cyclic and therefore by Chebotarev density, there is a prime p of K' such that  $[K(A)\mathfrak{g}$ :  $K'_{\mathfrak{p}} = [K(A):K']$ . Therefore Lemma [2](#page-0-1) applies and the map

$$
H^1(K',A)\to \prod_{\mathfrak{P}}H^1(K'_{\mathfrak{P}},A)
$$

is injective. We have the following diagram of restriction maps

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nH^1(G_{K'}, A) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\mathfrak{P}} H^1(G_{K'_{\mathfrak{P}}}, A) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
H^1(G_K, A) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\mathfrak{P}} H^1(G_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}, A)\n\end{array}
$$

Since the left and upper map are injective, the bottom map must also be injective and we obtain  $III^1(K, A) = 0$ . The case of  $III<sup>2</sup>$  follows by Poitout-Tate duality as mentioned at the beginning of this section.

## References

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>[Cas62] J.W.S. Cassels. Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. iv. proof of the hauptvermutung. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 1962(211):95–112, 1962.
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>[NSW13] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. Cohomology of Number Fields. Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2013.